

Meeting	<b>LOCAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE</b>
Time/Day/Date	6.30 pm on Thursday, 6 October 2016
Location	Board Room, Council Offices, Coalville
Officer to contact	Democratic Services (01530 454512)

All persons present are reminded that the meeting may be recorded and by attending this meeting you are giving your consent to being filmed and your image being used. You are kindly requested to make it known to the Chairman if you intend to film or record this meeting.

The Monitoring Officer would like to remind members that when they are considering whether the following items are exempt information under the relevant paragraph under part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 they must have regard to the public interest test. This means that members must consider, for each item, whether the public interest in maintaining the exemption from disclosure outweighs the public interest in making the item available to the public.

## AGENDA

Item	Pages
<b>1. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE</b>	
To receive and note any apologies for absence.	
<b>2. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS</b>	
Under the Code of Conduct members are reminded that in declaring disclosable interests you should make clear the nature of that interest and whether it is pecuniary or non-pecuniary.	
<b>3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING</b>	
To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 27 July 2016.	<b>3 - 6</b>
<b>4. COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE</b>	
Terms of Reference attached.	<b>7 - 8</b>



**5. GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITE ALLOCATION DPD: SITE OPTIONS CONSULTATION**

Report of the Director of Services.

**9 - 28**

**6. INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN**

Report of the Director of Services.

**29 - 46**

Circulation:

Councillor R D Bayliss  
Councillor J Bridges (Chairman)  
Councillor J Cotterill  
Councillor R Johnson  
Councillor J Legrys (Deputy Chairman)  
Councillor V Richichi  
Councillor M Specht

MINUTES of a meeting of the LOCAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE held in the Council Chamber, Council Offices, Coalville on WEDNESDAY, 27 JULY 2016

Present: Councillor J Bridges (Chairman)

Councillors R Adams (Substitute for Councillor R Johnson), R D Bayliss, J Cotterill, J Legrys, V Richichi and M Specht

In Attendance: Councillor A C Saffell

Officers: Mr M Sharp (Consultant), Mr S Bambrick, Mrs M Meredith, Mr I Nelson, Mr J Newton and Mr S Stanion

**1. ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN**

It was moved by Councillor M Specht, seconded by Councillor J Legrys and

RESOLVED THAT:

Councillor J Bridges be elected as Chairman for the forthcoming municipal year.

Councillor J Bridges took the chair. He thanked all members for their co-operation over the last year and he particularly thanked Councillor J Legrys for his kind words at Council. He felt that the Local Plan Advisory Committee was a testimony for collaborative cross party working and he hoped this could spread across the Council.

**2. ELECTION OF DEPUTY CHAIRMAN**

It was moved by Councillor J Bridges, seconded by Councillor J Cotterill and

RESOLVED THAT:

Councillor J Legrys be appointed as Deputy Chairman for the forthcoming municipal year.

**3. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE**

Apologies for absence were received from Councillor R Johnson.

**4. DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

There were no interests declared.

**5. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING**

Consideration was given to the minutes of the meeting held on 18 April 2016.

It was moved by Councillor J Legrys, seconded by Councillor R D Bayliss and

RESOLVED THAT:

The minutes of the meeting held on 18 April 2016 be approved and signed by the Chairman as a correct record.

**6. COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE**

Noted.

## 7. GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITE ALLOCATION DPD: PROGRESS REPORT

The Director of Services presented the report to members, reminding them that the intent was to produce a Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocation Development Plan Document, not least because the Council had a duty to meet the needs of the members of those communities, but also the preparation of the development plan document would help support the Local Plan. He advised that the purpose of the report was to provide members with an update on progress and to consider the next steps. He highlighted section 2 of the report which outlined the strategic approach in the Local Plan, which set out how the Council would consider proposed sites in policy H7 of the Local Plan. He added that specific site allocations were not made in the Local Plan, as this was the intent in producing the Development Plan Document. He drew members' attention to paragraph 2.2 of the report which referred to the situation at Maldon District Council and the approach being taken as a result whereby the Council was ensuring that good progress was being made and demonstrating that the needs of the community could be met, albeit in a separate document. He advised that it was imperative that the Council was making good progress in identifying and meeting those needs. He added that the progression so far had been good and it was anticipated that this would continue, however he emphasised that any delay in progress would become a risk to the Council and the Local Plan.

The Director of Services made reference to section 3 of the report which provided an update on the needs assessment. He advised that the existing needs assessment upon which the that Local Plan policy was based showed that the overall need for the period up to 2031 was a total of 68 permanent pitches, 20 transit pitches and 9 pitches for travelling showpeople. He advised that the Council was working jointly with other authorities in the housing market area to update the needs assessment. He believed that given the level of need in the district, there would be a need for this Council to identify some appropriate sites and it was upon that basis which work had progressed so far. He reminded members of the consultation process which had been conducted earlier in the year to call for sites and unfortunately there were no sites suggested to us as part of that process. He added however that the Council still had an obligation to try and find some sites, and consequently the Planning Policy team had been looking at other sources of sites including land owned by the Council, land in other public sector ownership, and other sites that the Council may have considered as part of planning applications either not implemented or refused in the past. He explained that there were no sites for members to consider at this meeting as the Council was not yet in a position to release this information in the public domain as no conversations had yet taken place with land owners, which was the next step. He stated that he would like to alert the ward member in advance of land owners being approached, to advise them that there was a potential site in their ward and that a conversation was about to take place with the land owner regarding the potential deliverability of the site and their intentions for it. He outlined the next steps and added the intention was that the draft Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocation Development Plan Document would be considered by Council in November and this document would contain the proposed sites. This would lead to a further public consultation followed by examination of the document. He emphasised the importance of the timeline and being able to demonstrate that progress was still being made on this document when the Local Plan was submitted for examination.

In response to questions from Councillor V Richichi in respect of the costs to the Council of providing sites and the ownership of sites, the Director of Services advised that the Council may choose to operate some of the sites in order to bring them forward, particularly where sites were identified which were in the Council's ownership. Such sites may be run by the Council or an external managing agent. He added that this stage had not yet been reached, and it would be a matter for the Council to determine whether to retain ownership of individual sites or to dispose of them to another provider. He

explained that where an agreement could not be reached with a land owner, the Council would consult the District Valuer to determine the value of the land.

Councillor R Adams asked what would happen if the Council did not meet its obligations in respect of providing sites. The Director of Services advised that without the Gypsy and Traveller Site Development Plan Document, there was quite a significant risk that the Local Plan would be found unsound because the need for sites had been identified and the Local Plan was not specifically meeting that need. He added that if the Local Plan was found unsound by the inspector, the Council would be invited to withdraw it and would be in the position of not having an up to date Local Plan.

Councillor J Legrys welcomed the report and the approach officers had taken with this highly contentious issue. He stated that he approved of the idea of ward members being advised prior to discussions being held with land owners. He requested that more of the information in respect of discussions being held with land owners be distributed in confidence to members of the Local Plan Advisory Committee. He felt that there were some procedural issues and concern in respect of co-operating with Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council due to the common boundary and traveller issues. He added that there was concern in respect of the mix of travelling families and ensuring officers were aware of the conflicts within the travelling community. He also made reference to the change in the definition of "traveller" set out in paragraph 3.2 of the report and questioned why it was necessary to find 68 pitches for permanent travellers when the regulations had changed and people who had ceased travelling were no longer part of this process. He felt this needed to be clearly defined when the document was sent out for consultation. He commented that he had a gypsy site within his ward which the vast majority of the population were unaware of. He welcomed this and felt the coalescence between travellers and the settled population should be promoted. He concluded that if a site previously had planning permission for a traveller site then it should be prioritised in the list of proposed sites.

The Director of Services drew members' attention to paragraph 4.5 of the report which set out the process that had been followed, and would continue to be followed in terms of some of the sensitivities which existed in some areas. He assured members that the Council was working with partners from other agencies who had a clearer view of the issues.

The Planning Policy Team Manager added that in terms of the common boundary issues, although Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council were not part of the revised assessment, the Council would continue to co-operate with them. He acknowledged that the sites which had previously been granted planning permission would be considered, however the key issue was deliverability.

Councillor J Legrys sought an explanation in respect of the change in the regulations set out at paragraph 3.2 of the report. He felt that there seemed to be a contradiction and a lack of understanding.

The Head of Planning and Regeneration advised that the current figures predated the change in legislation and as such the figures in the updated report would change to account for this. He added that in any event, the Council was required to meet the entire objectively assessed housing need through the Local Plan process. As such, sites would need to be identified and this would be accounted for in the overall housing requirement figures.

Councillor R D Bayliss sought clarification on whether the Council would seek to identify all sites now, or as and when they were required. The Planning Policy Team Manager advised that as with housing generally, the Council needed to demonstrate a 5 year

supply of sites. He added that this question was raised as part of the consultation, however this needed to be considered further.

Councillor J Bridges stated that he felt sites should be identified early to make the Local Plan robust.

Councillor M Specht made reference to sites around the district which were vacant and sought clarification whether these were included in the overall figures. The Planning Policy Team Manager advised that the figures in the existing assessment took account of the planning permissions at that particular point in time, and as such, these sites were taken account of. He added that this might be an issue for the revised study, as some of the permissions may have lapsed.

Councillor M Specht highlighted the issues in respect of the suitability of sites, in particular for livestock. He added that this was one of the key criteria for the travelling community.

Councillor J Legrys requested that when land owners were to be approached, this information be shared with the Local Plan Advisory Committee as well as the ward member. He added that the ward could be isolated and he expressed concerns in respect of cross boundary issues. He acknowledged the concerns of officers and the sensitivity of this information.

The Chairman proposed that any sensitive information initially be considered in consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Advisory Committee.

It was moved by Councillor M Specht, seconded by Councillor R Adams and

RESOLVED THAT:

- a) The proposals to update the Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment be noted;
- b) The representations received on the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document: consultation draft (Appendix B) be noted;
- c) The actions being taken to identify possible sites and broad locations to meet the accommodation needs of gypsies, travellers and travelling showpeople be noted; and
- d) Any sensitive information regarding the identification of possible sites be considered initially in consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Local Plan Advisory Committee.

The meeting commenced at 6.30 pm

The Chairman closed the meeting at 7.14 pm

## LOCAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE TERMS OF REFERENCE

### **Purpose of the Local Plan Advisory Committee**

To enable cross-party discussion, guidance and support for the development of the North West Leicestershire Local Plan.

### **Role of the Local Plan Advisory Committee**

- To consider and comment on documents that relate to the North West Leicestershire Local Plan including (but not restricted to) policy options, draft policies and evidence prepared to support the Plan.
- To make recommendations as required to Council in respect of the North West Leicestershire Local Plan.
- To monitor progress on the preparation of the North West Leicestershire Local Plan.
- To provide updates to other Members who do not sit on the Local Plan Advisory Committee.
- To consider and comment on responses to plans being prepared by other local planning authorities as part of the Duty to Cooperate.

### **Membership of the Local Plan Advisory Committee**

- The Advisory Committee will be constituted in accordance with the proportionality provisions contained within The Local Government and Housing Act 1989.
- The Council's Substitution Scheme will apply.
- The Advisory Committee will select a Chair at its first meeting of each civic year.
- Other members may be invited to attend and participate in meetings of the Advisory Committee in a non-voting capacity at the discretion of the Chair.
- The Advisory Committee meetings must have at least 3 members to be quorate.

### **Operation of the Local Plan Advisory Committee**

- Council Procedure Rule 4 will apply to the Local Plan Advisory Committee
- The Advisory Committee will meet at least once every two months, but will meet more frequently where necessary to enable continued progress on the North West Leicestershire Local Plan.
- The Advisory Committee will have no direct decision-making powers but will consider documents and information relating to the Local Plan and make recommendations to Council. Any such report will include specific comments and issues raised by the minority group.
- The Advisory Committee will be supported by the Director of Services and officers in the Planning Policy Team.
- Meetings will be organised, administered and minuted by Democratic Services with agendas and minutes being made available on the Council's website.
- The Portfolio Holder may attend as an observer.

**This page is intentionally left blank**



**NORTH WEST LEICESTERSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

**LOCAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE – 6 OCTOBER 2016**

Title of report	<b>GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITE ALLOCATION DPD: SITE OPTIONS CONSULTATION</b>
Contacts	<p>Councillor Trevor Pendleton 01509 569746 <a href="mailto:trevor.pendleton@nwleicestershire.gov.uk">trevor.pendleton@nwleicestershire.gov.uk</a></p> <p>Director of Services 01530 454555 <a href="mailto:steve.bambrick@nwleicestershire.gov.uk">steve.bambrick@nwleicestershire.gov.uk</a></p> <p>Head of Planning &amp; Regeneration 01530 454782 <a href="mailto:jim.newton@nwleicestershire.gov.uk">jim.newton@nwleicestershire.gov.uk</a></p> <p>Planning Policy Team Manager 01530 454677 <a href="mailto:ian.nelson@nwleicestershire.gov.uk">ian.nelson@nwleicestershire.gov.uk</a></p>
Purpose of report	To outline for Members the progress that has been made to prepare a Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document that sets out site options.
Council Priorities	<p>These are taken from the Council Delivery Plan:</p> <p>Value for Money Homes and Communities</p>
<p>Implications:</p> <p>Financial/Staff</p> <p>Link to relevant CAT</p> <p>Risk Management</p> <p>Equalities Impact Screening</p>	<p>None</p> <p>None</p> <p>In previous reports, reference has been made to an on-going risk that the North West Leicestershire Local Plan may not be found sound due to the way in which it addresses the accommodation needs of travellers. To mitigate this risk, the Council must be able to demonstrate that good progress is being made on the preparation of the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD, especially with regard to the identification of sites, by the time of the examination of the Local Plan.</p> <p>A full equality impact assessment has been prepared.</p>

Human Rights	European Convention on Human Rights art.8 imposes a positive obligation on the State to facilitate the Gypsy and Traveller way of life.
Transformational Government	Not applicable
Comments of Head of Paid Service	The Report is Satisfactory
Comments of Section 151 Officer	The Report is Satisfactory
Comments of Monitoring Officer	The Report is Satisfactory
Consultees	Local Plan Project Board
Background papers	<p>Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document: Consultation Draft  <a href="http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/files/documents/gypsy_and_traveller_site_allocation_consultation_document/Gypsy%20and%20Traveller%20Site%20Allocation%20DPD%20Draft%20for%20Consultation%20-%20hard%20copy.pdf">http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/files/documents/gypsy_and_traveller_site_allocation_consultation_document/Gypsy%20and%20Traveller%20Site%20Allocation%20DPD%20Draft%20for%20Consultation%20-%20hard%20copy.pdf</a></p> <p>National Planning Policy for Traveller Sites  <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/457420/Final_planning_and_travellers_policy.pdf">https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/457420/Final_planning_and_travellers_policy.pdf</a></p> <p>Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment Refresh (2013)  <a href="https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/files/documents/leicester_leicestershire_and_rutland_gtaa_refresh_may_2013/Leicester%2C%20Leicestershire%20and%20Rutland%20GTAA%20Refresh%20-%20May%202013.pdf">https://www.nwleics.gov.uk/files/documents/leicester_leicestershire_and_rutland_gtaa_refresh_may_2013/Leicester%2C%20Leicestershire%20and%20Rutland%20GTAA%20Refresh%20-%20May%202013.pdf</a></p> <p>North West Leicestershire Local Plan: Publication  <a href="http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/files/documents/proposed_publication_local_plan_2016/LocalPlanDocJune2016.pdf">http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/files/documents/proposed_publication_local_plan_2016/LocalPlanDocJune2016.pdf</a></p> <p>Equalities impact assessment of the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocation DPD: Draft for Consultation</p>
Recommendations	<p><b>THAT THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE:</b></p> <p>(i) <b>NOTES THE PROGRESS BEING MADE TO IDENTIFY POSSIBLE SITES AND BROAD LOCATIONS TO MEET THE ACCOMMODATION NEEDS OF GYPSIES, TRAVELLERS AND TRAVELLING SHOWPEOPLE;</b></p> <p>(ii) <b>NOTES THE BROAD FORMAT AND CONTENT OF THE GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITE ALLOCATION DPD: SITE OPTIONS CONSULTATION DOCUMENT;</b></p> <p>(iii) <b>NOTES THE NEED TO UNDERTAKE A</b></p>

	<b>SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL AND HABITATS REGULATIONS ASSESSMENT; AND (iv) NOTES THE CONSULTATION ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE SITE OPTIONS DOCUMENT.</b>
--	--

## **1.0 BACKGROUND**

- 1.1 The North West Leicestershire Local Plan published in July 2016 sets out the Council's strategic approach to meeting the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople at Policy H7 (see Appendix A). Policy H7 sets out the minimum accommodation need that is required to be met in North West Leicestershire and criteria for the identification of sites and seeks to safeguard existing sites. Policy H7 also sets out the intention to prepare a Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD as a means of identifying a range of sites to meet the identified need.
- 1.2 At its meeting of the 27 July 2016, the Local Plan Advisory Committee considered an update on the preparation of a Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document. The Committee noted that potential sites are being considered for their suitability, deliverability and availability using the criteria for the identification of sites set out in Local Plan Policy H7. The Local Plan Advisory Committee resolved that any sensitive information regarding the identification of possible sites be considered initially in consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Local Plan Advisory Committee.
- 1.3 While the Committee Chairman and Deputy Chairman have been consulted, at the time of writing this report, potential sites are still being considered for their suitability. In particular, sites need to be assessed to confirm that a suitable highway access can be achieved. As a consequence, officers have not yet contacted landowners to determine the availability of potential sites.
- 1.4 The Council is working with the other local planning authorities (excluding Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council) in the Leicester and Leicestershire Housing Market Area to update the pitch targets for Gypsies and travellers and the plot targets for travelling showpeople. A consultant has been appointed and it is anticipated that this update will be completed by the end of the year. This will help maintain and provide a robust and up to date evidence of need that may give rise to revisions to Local Plan Policy H7 and inform the emerging Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD.
- 1.5 Notwithstanding the need to update the pitch targets for Gypsies and travellers and the plot targets for travelling showpeople, the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD will need to identify a supply of specific deliverable sites and, potentially, broad locations for growth too.

## **2.0 GYPSY AND TRAVELLER SITE ALLOCATIONS: SITE OPTIONS**

- 2.1 Although it is not yet possible to confirm the suitability, deliverability and availability of potential sites, an outline of the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations: Site Options document has been prepared (Appendix B). It should be noted that this is a 'working draft' document and is intended to provide the Advisory Committee with an indication as to how it will look when it is presented to Full Council at its meeting of 8 November 2016. For

example, at this stage potential sites are not identified but it is intended that they will be included. In addition, as new information becomes available other changes may be required.

2.2 The Site Options document identifies three options for addressing the accommodation needs of Gypsies and travellers:

Option A: Identify sufficient sites to meet the full need identified by the latest Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller's Accommodation Needs Assessment;

Option B: Minimising the allocation of new sites. This will be achieved by allocating sufficient sites to meet needs over a shorter period, such as up ten years (i.e. to 2027), to allow a future plan review to inform site provision for years 2027 to 2031; or

Option C: Identify sufficient sites to meet needs over the period to 2027 and then identifying a broad area of search to meet longer-term needs (2027-2031). The area of search would most likely focus on the A42 corridor in North West Leicestershire.

2.3 In broad terms, the Site Options document takes a sequential approach to the identification of Gypsy and Traveller sites. Depending on the level of need, preference will be given to the intensification or extension of existing traveller sites and then the allocation of sites that have previously been permitted but where planning permission has lapsed. Any residual requirement will be met by:

Option 1: Sites in locations that have good access to services and facilities; or

Option 2: Sites in locations that have good access to the road network and with limited impact on the settled population.

2.4 At the present time, it is expected that the accommodation needs of travelling showpeople (9 plots) can be met by the intensification or extension of existing travelling showpeople sites without the need to identify new sites.

### **3.0 SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL**

3.1 Sustainability Appraisal (SA) is required during the preparation of a Local Plan. This will help the authority to assess how the plan will contribute to the achievement of sustainable development. SA applies to any of the documents that form part of the Local Plan, including the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD.

3.2 SA considers the plan's wider economic and social effects in addition to its potential environmental impacts. SA should meet all of the requirements of the Environmental Assessment of Plans and Programmes Regulations 2004, so a separate strategic environmental assessment (SEA) should not be required.

3.3 The initial scoping stage (Stage A) must identify the scope and level of detail of the information to be included in the SA report. Given the narrow scope of the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD, plus the fact that a detailed SA Scoping consultation has

already been undertaken in connection with the North West Leicestershire Local Plan, instead of preparing a full Scoping Report the intention is to set out the scope of the SA work for the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD in the form of a scoping letter for consultation with the consultation bodies (Historic England, English Nature and the Environment Agency). Consultation on the scope of the SA work will be undertaken at the same time as consultation on the Site Option document.

- 3.4 The DPD will also require a Habitats Regulations Assessment, as set out in the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended), as it could have significant effects on European habitats or species.

#### **4.0 NEXT STEPS**

- 4.1 Work on the identification of potentially suitable sites will continue and officers will then contact landowners to determine the availability sites. At this time arrangements will be made for all Members to inspect the identified sites.
- 4.2 Suitable, deliverable and available sites will be then included in the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations: Site Options document for approval by Full Council at its meeting on 8 November 2016. It is proposed to formally commence consultation on the Site Options document later in November or December 2016.
- 4.3 An eight-week consultation period will be advertised on the Council's website and individuals, organisations and stakeholders who may have an interest in provision for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople will be contacted directly. Consultees will include Leicestershire County Council, adjoining county and local planning authorities, parish councils, representatives of the Gypsy, traveller and travelling showpeople communities, the Leicester and Leicestershire Multi-Agency Travellers Unit and specific consultees such as the Highway Agency, Environment Agency, English Heritage and the Coal Authority. On the advice of the Leicester and Leicestershire Multi-Agency Travellers Unit, there will be no public meetings.

## **APPENDIX A: NORTH WEST LEICESTERSHIRE LOCAL PLAN: PUBLICATION**

### **Policy H7: Provision for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople**

- (1) Provision will be made to meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople between 2012- 2031 for a minimum of:**
  - 2012 – 2017: 27 pitches plus 20 transit pitches
  - 2017 – 2022: 11 pitches plus 3 plots for showpeople
  - 2022- 2027: 14 pitches plus 3 plots for showpeople
  - 2027- 2031: 16 pitches plus 3 plots for showpeople
- (2) The required provision will be identified through the production of a Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document, taking into account the most-up-to-date Gypsy and Traveller Accommodations Needs Assessment.**
- (3) A five year supply of deliverable sites will be identified as well as a supply of developable sites or broad locations for the following years. The following criteria will be used to guide the site allocation process, and for the purposes of considering planning applications for such sites.**
- (4) Proposals for new sites or extensions to existing sites should meet the following requirements:**
  - (a) Be located with reasonable access to a range of services, such as shops, schools, welfare facilities or public transport**
  - (b) Be proportionate to the scale of the nearest settlement, its local services and infrastructure**
  - (c) Have suitable highway access, and is not detrimental to public highway safety**
  - (d) Provides for adequate on-site parking and turning of vehicles as well as appropriate facilities for servicing and storage**
  - (e) Be capable of being provided with adequate services including water supply, power, drainage, sewage disposal, and waste disposal facilities**
  - (f) Be compatible with landscape, environment, heritage and biodiversity as well as the physical and visual character of the area,**
  - (g) Be compatible with the amenities of neighbouring properties and land uses.**
- (5) Authorised, existing and new, sites will be safeguarded for Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople groups unless they are no longer required to meet an identified need.**
- (6) Any development provided for within this policy which discharges wastewater into the Mease catchment will be subject to the provisions of policy En2. Any such development which does not meet these provisions will not be permitted.**

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Background

1.1.1 The North West Leicestershire Local Plan was published on 4 July 2016. The Local Plan provides a planning policy framework for guiding development in the District up to 2031. It comprises a vision, strategic objectives, site allocations and development management policies. With regard to housing, the Local Plan aims to ensure that everyone, including Gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople, has the opportunity to access a decent home. The Local Plan states that the accommodation needs of Gypsies and travellers and travelling showpeople are to be met through the production of a Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD).

### 1.2 Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document (DPD)

1.2.1 The statutory duty for local authorities to provide Gypsy and Traveller sites was removed in 1994. Nationally this has led to a lack of suitable accommodation provision, an increase in unauthorised Gypsy and Traveller sites and tensions between the settled community and the travelling population.

1.2.2 Gypsies and Travellers have been recognised by the courts as being distinct ethnic groups and are protected from discrimination by the Equality Act 2010. There is a statutory duty on public bodies to have due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination, advance equality of opportunity and foster good relations in the course of developing policies and delivering services.

1.2.3 As in most other areas of the Country, there is a shortage of authorised Gypsy and Traveller sites in North West Leicestershire to meet identified need. This leads to unauthorised developments and encampments and results in uncertainty for both the settled population and the Gypsy and Traveller community. It is also well recognised that Gypsies and Travellers are amongst the most socially excluded groups in society and research has consistently confirmed the link between the lack of good quality sites and poor health and education outcomes. The provision of sites can therefore be the key to tackling a host of issues.

1.2.4 The failure to allocate sufficient land to meet the need for new pitches has a number of impacts including:

- Continuing the current problem of unauthorised development and encampments, as well as tensions with the settled community;
- Reinforcing the cycle of nomadism for those Gypsies and Travellers who may prefer a more settled existence, but cannot find a permanent site;
- Restricting the Council's ability to enforce against unauthorised development as our ability to enforce is related to how proactive we are in meeting the need for sites; and

- Increasing the difficulty of ensuring that the Gypsy and Traveller community has access to all the support and services they need.

1.2.5 The Development Plan Document will address these issues by identifying sites to meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in North West Leicestershire to 2031. This version of the Development Plan Document sets out options for how these accommodation needs can be met.

### 1.3 How to respond

1.3.1 The period for making any representation is for a period of eight weeks from Monday 2017 to Monday 2017. **Representations must be submitted no later than 5.00pm on 2017.**

1.3.2 Representations may be made in writing or by way of electronic communications, giving your name and address, to the following address:

Planning Policy Team  
North West Leicestershire District Council  
Council Offices  
Coalville  
Leicestershire  
LE67 3FJ  
Email: [planning.policy@nwleicestershire.gov.uk](mailto:planning.policy@nwleicestershire.gov.uk)

1.3.3 Copies of this consultation document are available on the Council's website at [http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/planning\\_policy](http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/pages/planning_policy). Alternatively, you can request a copy by contacting the Planning Policy Team at the above address.

1.3.4 Please note that responses cannot be treated as confidential and must be provided in a lawful way. The Council will not consider offensive and/or racist representations. Where a response is considered to be racist/offensive the Council will write to the respondent explaining that it is unlawful and cannot be considered or disclosed. Where a response is considered to be racist/offensive but also contains non racist/offensive material, the Council will write to the respondent explaining that only the non racist content will be considered or disclosed.



## 2. Planning Policy Context

### 2.1 National Policy

2.1.1 The Government's planning policy for traveller sites was published in August 2015.

2.1.2 The Government's overarching aim is to ensure fair and equal treatment for travellers, in a way that facilitates the traditional and nomadic way of life of travellers while respecting the interests of the settled community. To help achieve this, Government's aims in respect of traveller sites include:

- that local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning
- to ensure that local planning authorities, working collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites
- to encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale
- to promote more private traveller site provision while recognising that there will always be those travellers who cannot provide their own sites
- that plan-making and decision-taking should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective
- for local planning authorities to ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive policies
- to increase the number of traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under-provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply
- to reduce tensions between settled and traveller communities in plan-making and planning decisions
- to enable provision of suitable accommodation from which travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure
- for local planning authorities to have due regard to the protection of local amenity and local environment

2.1.3 The definition of gypsies and travellers is set out in the Government's 'Planning Policy for Traveller Sites' which states:

1. For the purposes of this planning policy "gypsies and travellers" means:

*Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family's or dependants' educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excluding members of*

*an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such.*

2. *In determining whether persons are “gypsies and travellers” for the purposes of this planning policy, consideration should be given to the following issues amongst other relevant matters:*

*a) whether they previously led a nomadic habit of life*

*b) the reasons for ceasing their nomadic habit of life*

*c) whether there is an intention of living a nomadic habit of life in the future, and if so, how soon and in what circumstances.*

3. *For the purposes of this planning policy, “travelling showpeople” means:*

*Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependants’ more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily, but excludes Gypsies and Travellers as defined above.*

## **2.2 Local Policy**

2.2.1 The North West Leicestershire Local Plan and Policy H7 specifically, provide the context and local policy framework for the emerging Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document.

2.2.2 Policy H7 of the Local Plan on Provision of Sites for Gypsies and Travellers states:

- (1) Provision will be made to meet the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople between 2012- 2031 for a minimum of:**
  - **2012 – 2017: 27 pitches plus 20 transit pitches**
  - **2017 – 2022: 11 pitches plus 3 plots for showpeople**
  - **2022- 2027: 14 pitches plus 3 plots for showpeople**
  - **2027- 2031: 16 pitches plus 3 plots for showpeople**
- (2) The required provision will be identified through the production of a Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document, taking into account the most-up-to-date Gypsy and Traveller Accommodations Needs Assessment.**
- (3) A five year supply of deliverable sites will be identified as well as a supply of developable sites or broad locations for the following years. The following criteria will be used to guide the site allocation process, and for the purposes of considering planning applications for such sites.**

- (4) Proposals for new sites or extensions to existing sites should meet the following requirements:**
- (a) Be located with reasonable access to a range of services, such as shops, schools, welfare facilities or public transport**
  - (b) Be proportionate to the scale of the nearest settlement, its local services and infrastructure**
  - (c) Have suitable highway access, and is not detrimental to public highway safety**
  - (d) Provides for adequate on-site parking and turning of vehicles as well as appropriate facilities for servicing and storage**
  - (e) Be capable of being provided with adequate services including water supply, power, drainage, sewage disposal, and waste disposal facilities**
  - (f) Be compatible with landscape, environment, heritage and biodiversity as well as the physical and visual character of the area,**
  - (g) Be compatible with the amenities of neighbouring properties and land uses.**
- (5) Authorised, existing and new, sites will be safeguarded for Gypsy and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople groups unless they are no longer required to meet an identified need.**
- (6) Any development provided for within this policy which discharges wastewater into the Mease catchment will be subject to the provisions of policy En2. Any such development which does not meet these provisions will not be permitted.**

### **3. Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller's Accommodation Needs Assessment**

#### **3.1 The Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller's Accommodation Needs Assessment (GTAA) (2007)**

3.1.1 In 2006, Leicestershire County, Leicester City, Rutland County, Blaby District, Charnwood Borough, Harborough District, Hinckley & Bosworth Borough, Melton Borough, North West Leicestershire District and Oadby & Wigston Borough councils commissioned the Centre for Urban and Regional Studies at the University of Birmingham, with John Bloxsom Housing Services, to carry out a Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment for the Study Area of Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland. The Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller's Accommodation Needs Assessment (GTAA) (2007) identified the existing level of provision and provided a reliable estimate of future needs for the period 2006-2016.

#### **3.2 Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment Refresh (2013)**

3.2.1 To ensure that our evidence is up to date, a 2013 refresh of the original 2007 GTAA Study was undertaken. 87 surveys were undertaken with Gypsies and Travellers in the study area (excepting Rutland and Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council). This represented just under 20% of the estimated population of 468 families. The methodology also included interviews with 48 stakeholders across the authorities and partner agencies, as well as desk-top review of existing data such as planning permissions and unauthorised encampments.

3.2.2 Across the Leicestershire and Leicester study area (excepting Rutland and Hinckley & Bosworth Borough Council) this GTAA assessed the need for pitches and plots for Gypsies and Travellers and Travelling Showpeople respectively, up to 2031, taking into account any unmet need identified in the initial GTAA. These GTAA requirements for North West Leicestershire for the period 2012-2031 are set out in Local Plan Policy H7. This study also identified that a significant proportion of new accommodation provided should be for social rented pitches.

#### **3.3 The Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller's Accommodation Needs Assessment (GTAA) (2016)**

3.3.1 A revised definition of "traveller" (which now excludes those who have permanently ceased from travelling) which was set out in the Department for Communities and Local Government's 2015 planning policy document for Gypsies and travellers could change the assessment of the numbers of pitches and plots required. The supply of pitches in North West Leicestershire has also changed significantly since the Needs Assessment was last prepared. Accordingly, the Council is working with the other local planning authorities (excluding Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council) in the Leicester and

Leicestershire Housing Market Area to update the pitch targets for Gypsies and travellers and the plot targets for travelling showpeople. It is anticipated that this update will be completed by the end of the year. This approach will help maintain and provide a robust and up to date evidence of need that may give rise to revisions to Local Plan Policy H7 and the emerging Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations DPD.

## **4. How the Plan has been Developed**

### **4.1 Development of the Plan**

4.1.1 This Options version of the Plan has been founded on:

- National and local policies including:
  - The National Planning Policy Framework
  - Planning Policy for Traveller Sites
  - The North West Leicestershire Local Plan
- Evidence base:
  - Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment Refresh (2013). The Council is currently working with the other local planning authorities (excluding Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council) in the Leicester and Leicestershire Housing Market Area to update the pitch targets for Gypsies and travellers and the plot targets for travelling showpeople.
- Recommendations from appraisals and assessments:
  - Traveller Land Availability Assessment
  - Equality Impact Assessment
- Involvement of key stakeholders, interested parties and local communities including representations received on our consultation document published in February 2016.

4.1.2 The next version of the Gypsy and Traveller Site Allocations Development Plan Document will also have regard to:

- Representations received with respect to this Options document.
- Sustainability Appraisal of the objectives, strategy and site allocations which highlights potential conflicts or areas where there could be improvements. It also ensures the Plan accords with the principles of sustainable development.
- Habitat Regulations Assessment of the impact of the allocated sites on sites of European significance designated for species and habitats (Special Areas of Conservation (SAC)) or birds (Special Protected Areas (SPA)). That part of the river Mease and its tributaries which lie within North West Leicestershire are designated as a Special Area of Conservation.

### **4.2 Identification of Sites**

4.2.1 The consultation document published in February 2016 provided an opportunity for individuals, organisations (including parish councils) and stakeholders who may have an interest in provision for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople to suggest sites that may be suitable for allocation. However, this 'call for sites' exercise failed to identify any new sites.

4.2.2 As a consequence, to identify as wide a range as possible of sites, the Council looked at other types of sites and relevant sources of data, including:

- Intensification or extension of existing authorised traveller sites
- Existing housing and economic development allocations and site development briefs not yet with planning permission
- Planning permissions for traveller sites that are unimplemented
- Planning applications for traveller sites that have been refused or withdrawn
- Sites submitted as part of the Council's Strategic Housing and Employment Land Availability Assessments
- Pre-application enquiries for traveller developments
- Sites previously considered as having potential for traveller development
- Property in the Council's ownership
- Public sector land that is surplus, or likely to become surplus
- Vacant and derelict land and buildings
- Land safeguarded, severed or otherwise affected by infrastructure projects

### 4.3 Site Assessment

4.3.1 Over 500 sites derived from the above data sources were assessed against national policies and designations to identify those that had a reasonable potential for development. Potential sites were then assessed using more detailed site surveys prior to consultation with the Highway Authority, Environment Agency and others.

4.3.2 To assess the availability of sites, landowners were contacted to ensure that there is an intention to develop the site for Gypsies and Travellers pitches or Travelling Showpeople plots, or the landowner has expressed an intention to sell for those uses. Not all landowners are willing to make sites available and while the Council will seek to negotiate with the relevant landowner to ensure that a site is made available, it may need to use its compulsory purchase powers to bring sites forward.

4.3.3 The sites that are currently considered to be potentially suitable, deliverable and available using the criteria for the identification of sites set out in Local Plan Policy H7 are set out in Appendix A. The Council is still willing to consider any new sites that may be suggested by interested parties.

## 5. Gypsy and Traveller Site Options

### 5.1 Maintaining a supply of deliverable sites

5.1.1 Local Planning Authorities must maintain a five-year supply of deliverable sites against locally set targets of accommodation need. Thereafter a supply of specific developable sites or broad locations for growth should be identified for the following years 6-10, and where possible for years 11-15. Specific sites would be identified on a proposal map with a clear site boundary, whilst broad locations would be shown on the proposal map as a general area within which a site would later be identified.

5.1.2 Relating these requirements to the five-year bands set out in the GTAA, the broad options for providing for Gypsy and traveller sites are:

Option A: Identify sufficient sites to meet the full need identified by the latest Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller's Accommodation Needs Assessment;

Option B: Identify sufficient sites to meet needs over a shorter period, such as up ten years (i.e. to 2027), to allow a future plan review to inform site provision for years 2027 to 2031; or

Option C: Identify sufficient sites to meet needs over a shorter period, such as up ten years (i.e. to 2027), and then identifying a broad area of search to meet longer-term needs (2027-2031).

5.1.3 The proposed route for the Birmingham to Leeds High Speed Rail line (HS2) passes through North West Leicestershire - mainly along the A42 corridor. We believe that should permission to build and operate HS2 be approved there will be opportunities to identify traveller sites on land that is safeguarded, severed or otherwise affected by the proposed route. It is therefore considered that the A42 corridor could be a suitable broad area of search for meeting the longer term need for traveller sites.

### 5.2 Intensification and Extension of Existing Traveller Sites

5.2.1 Representations received on our consultation document published in February 2016 demonstrated support for the intensification (i.e. by allowing more 'pitches' on a site without extending the site) and extension of existing traveller sites as a way of providing for accommodation needs. We have identified ? existing traveller sites that could be intensified in Appendix A that could provide for some ? additional permanent traveller pitches.



### **5.3 Previously Approved Traveller Sites**

5.3.1 In 2006, planning permission was granted for a 12 pitch transit gypsy site at The Paddock adjoining, M42 Burton Road, Oakthorpe, Swadlincote. It is unclear why the planning permission was not implemented, but the site remains undeveloped.

5.3.2 Similarly, planning permission was granted on appeal for a six pitch residential gypsy caravan site in 2012 at The Spinney, Caravan Site, Ashby Road, Sinope. The site remains undeveloped.

### **5.4 Other Site Options**

5.4.1 While priority is likely to be given to the intensification and extension of existing travel sites and sites which previously had planning permission for traveller sites, these may not be sufficient to meet the required need. In selecting new traveller sites what should be our priority?

Option 1: Sites in locations that have good access to services and facilities; or

Option 2: Sites in locations that have good access to the road network and with limited impact on the settled population.

## **6. Travelling Showpeople**

### **6.1 Site Options**

- 6.1.1 The Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Gypsy and Traveller Needs Assessment Refresh (2013) identifies a requirement for nine travelling showpeople plots over the period 2017 to 2031. We believe that this need can be met through the intensification or extension of existing showpeople sites identified in Appendix A.

## **7. Detailed Planning Considerations and Safeguarding**

### **7.1 Introduction**

7.1.1 It is important that there is certainty and clarity for applicants, the Gypsy, traveller and travelling showpeople communities and the settled community about what is expected from development and the future use of land. The inclusion of a detailed planning considerations policy and a safeguarding policy seeks to provide this assurance to all concerned. The Government's 2008 Good Practice guide on Designing Gypsy and Traveller Sites was cancelled in August 2015.

#### **Draft Policy: Detailed Planning Considerations**

Proposals for Gypsy, traveller and travelling showpeople development will be expected to:

- A. Incorporate appropriate vehicular access and turning space;
- B. Minimise conflict between pedestrians / cyclists and vehicles on site;
- C. Include appropriate landscaping proposals and demonstrate that key elements of landscape character have been identified, retained and incorporated into the design. The presence of high close-board fencing will generally be considered inappropriate as a means of screening the site or pitch separation;
- D. Be well designed and laid out, ensuring that proposed amenity buildings or day rooms are sensitively sited and use sympathetic materials;
- E. Promote community safety and social cohesion through measures such as natural surveillance on site;
- F. Ensure that children are able to play safely on site;
- G. Include details of foul sewerage disposal and surface water drainage, and where appropriate, look for opportunities to implement Sustainable Drainage Systems; and
- H. Where feasible, reduce exposure to severe weather and climatic risks, utilising for example, natural shade and shelter. Consideration should also be given to the use of renewable energy systems such as wind or solar energy.

I. Schemes should make clear what commercial activity, if any, would be carried out on site and where.

## **7.2 Safeguarding**

**7.2.1** To ensure that the levels of Gypsy, traveller and travelling shoepole accommodation are maintained, all current authorised sites and future sites allocated through the Plan or via the successful outcome of a planning application will be safeguarded for traveller use in accordance with Local Plan Policy H7.

**NORTH WEST LEICESTERSHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL**

**LOCAL PLAN ADVISORY COMMITTEE – 6 OCTOBER 2016**

Title of report	<b>INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN</b>
Contacts	<p>Councillor Trevor Pendleton 01509 569746 <a href="mailto:trevor.pendleton@nwleicestershire.gov.uk">trevor.pendleton@nwleicestershire.gov.uk</a></p> <p>Director of Services 01530 454555 <a href="mailto:steve.bambrick@nwleicestershire.gov.uk">steve.bambrick@nwleicestershire.gov.uk</a></p> <p>Head of Planning &amp; Regeneration 01530 454782 <a href="mailto:jim.newton@nwleicestershire.gov.uk">jim.newton@nwleicestershire.gov.uk</a></p> <p>Planning Policy Team Manager 01530 454677 <a href="mailto:ian.nelson@nwleicestershire.gov.uk">ian.nelson@nwleicestershire.gov.uk</a></p>
Purpose of report	To outline the findings of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and how the Council will manage the future delivery of infrastructure.
Council Priorities	<p>These are taken from the Council Delivery Plan:</p> <p>Value for Money Homes and Communities</p>
Implications:	
Financial/Staff	The cost of preparing the Infrastructure Delivery Plan has been met from existing budgets. The management of future infrastructure provision is likely to have implications for both staff and financial resources. These will be managed through the council's budget processes.
Link to relevant CAT	None
Risk Management	The establishment of an Infrastructure Partnership will enable the Council to manage the future delivery of the infrastructure which will benefit local communities
Equalities Impact Screening	None
Human Rights	None

Transformational Government	Not applicable
Comments of Head of Paid Service	The Report is Satisfactory
Comments of Section 151 Officer	The Report is Satisfactory
Comments of Monitoring Officer	The Report is Satisfactory
Consultees	None
Background papers	National Planning Policy Framework which can be found at <a href="http://www.gov.uk/government/publications?topics%5B%5D=planning-and-building">www.gov.uk/government/publications?topics%5B%5D=planning-and-building</a>  Infrastructure Delivery Plan which can be found at <a href="http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/files/documents/nwl_infrastructure_delivery_plan_2016/NWLDC%20IDP%20Final%20Version.pdf">http://www.nwleics.gov.uk/files/documents/nwl_infrastructure_delivery_plan_2016/NWLDC%20IDP%20Final%20Version.pdf</a>
Recommendations	<b>THAT THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE NOTES: (I) THE FINDINGS OF THE INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN AND; (II) THE PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH AN INFRASTRUCTURE PARTNERSHIP</b>

## 1.0 BACKGROUND

- 1.1 Members will be aware that a key component of the Local Plan is the provision of an evidence base. This reflects the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) which requires that local plans are “*based on adequate, up-to-date and relevant evidence about the economic, social and environmental characteristics and prospects for the area*”. It also advises that such evidence should be proportionate (i.e. not evidence for evidences sake).
- 1.2 The NPPF (paragraphs 158 to 177) outlines the type of evidence which may be required to support a local plan.
- 1.3 In terms of Infrastructure the NPPF advises that “*Local planning authorities should work with other authorities and providers to:*
- *assess the quality and capacity of infrastructure for transport, water supply, wastewater and its treatment, energy (including heat), telecommunications, utilities, waste, health, social care, education, flood risk and coastal change management, and its ability to meet forecast demands; and*
  - *take account of the need for strategic infrastructure including nationally significant infrastructure within their areas.”*

- 1.4 The NPPF requires that proposals in a local plan should be deliverable. This includes understanding what infrastructure is required to support new development.
- 1.5 To address the above an Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) was commissioned in late 2015 from consultants (AECOM) who have had significant experience of preparing similar evidence elsewhere across the country.
- 1.6 This report outlines the process for the preparation of the IDP, its findings and how the work from the IDP will be taken forward.

## **2.0 HOW WAS THE STUDY UNDERTAKEN?**

- 2.1 The consultants were tasked with establishing the following in consultation with the various infrastructure providers:
- Baseline provision for those infrastructure types listed below;
  - An assessment of what infrastructure is required to support the strategy for the district as set out in the draft Local Plan, including, but not restricted to, the level of growth for housing, employment and retail;
  - An assessment of service providers plans to identify what other infrastructure provision is likely to occur up to 2031;
  - The identification of any gaps in infrastructure provision having regard to the above and infrastructure provision secured to date;
  - A prioritisation of identified infrastructure in consultation with NWLDC and stakeholders;
  - The identification of additional strategies and plans required for the various types of Infrastructure;
  - The identification of any funding gaps to meet the identified needs;
  - The identification of potential funding sources that could be used to overcome any funding gaps and possible future governance arrangements for managing the delivery of infrastructure.
- 2.2 The types of infrastructure to be considered were:
- Transport
  - Education
  - Health
  - Emergency Services
  - Community & Civic
  - Sport and Recreation
  - Green Infrastructure
  - Utilities
  - Waste
  - Flood Defences
- 2.3 In order to help build a picture of existing provision and plans for new provision, the consultants used a range of primary and secondary data. In addition, the consultants engaged with the various infrastructure providers including holding a daylong workshop in February 2016 where the various topics were discussed in a series of round table discussions.

2.4 To inform future needs, the consultants took information created for the Local Plan in respect of how much housing and employment development will take place and when. They identified how this might translate in to changes in the age and structure of the population of the district. This information was then used to assess how this would impact on future infrastructure needs.

### **3.0 WHAT DID THE STUDY FIND?**

3.1 From the work outlined above the study identified a wide range of infrastructure projects which, in an ideal world, would be needed to support the level of growth planned across the district. It also identified the possible costs for the various types of infrastructure. Some of this is more certain than other aspects. For example, a known road scheme might have a detailed cost but an extension to a school might be based on a formulaic approach in the absence of detailed costs. Many of the projects are based on a theoretical modelling exercise (for example open space provision) and attributed to a place (e.g. Coalville), but at this stage there is no specific project identified (e.g. enhancement of an existing open space).

3.2 The infrastructure identified in the study is set out in a separate schedule in the final report. Appendix A of this report provides an overview of those projects listed in the schedule.

3.3 In total some 162 individual projects were included in the schedule. These projects are at different stages of development, from nothing happening to date to those currently being undertaken (e.g. J22 of M1). As a result, not only are the costs better understood for some projects, but also the potential funding available as well. However, in the vast majority of cases the exact cost and possible funding are not known. Taking what information is known a funding gap has been estimated each project.

3.4 The schedule groups projects by topic and also by place. It identifies a Delivery Lead organisation and potential costs, any identified funding and funding gaps for each project.

3.5 The study recognises that some types of infrastructure are more important from the point of view of enabling new development to go ahead. For example, improvements to a road may be required in order to achieve a safe means of access to a development without which development could not happen, whilst improvements to other infrastructure would be of benefit to an area they may not be absolutely necessary to allow a development to go ahead (for example, contributions sought by the police as they have other funding streams available and a duty to provide a service).

3.6 Therefore the infrastructure identified in the study was prioritised according to the principles as set out below.



Table 1 – categories of priority for infrastructure

Critical Infrastructure	This defines infrastructure that must happen to enable growth. These infrastructure items are known as ‘blockers’ or ‘showstoppers’ and are most common in relation to transport and utilities infrastructure. It also includes Essential Services that are required to facilitate growth or be delivered in advance of residential / commercial development, i.e. connection to the potable water and wastewater network.
Essential Infrastructure	This defines infrastructure that is required to mitigate impact arising from the operation of the development. Although a lack of infrastructure in this category is unlikely to prevent development in the short term, failure to invest in it could result in delays in development in the medium term as capacity in available facilities and networks is constrained. These items are most common in relation to trip and population generated by the development. This will largely be secondary infrastructure that is profiled subsequent to Critical Infrastructure.
Policy High Priority Infrastructure	This defines infrastructure that is required to support wider strategic or site specific objectives which are set out in planning policy or is subject to a statutory duty. This type of infrastructure does not have a direct relationship of addition population meaning direct additional need. This type of infrastructure would not necessarily prevent development from occurring.
Desirable Infrastructure	This defines infrastructure that is required for sustainable growth but is unlikely to prevent development in the short to medium term. This is often aligned to placemaking objectives and is infrastructure that does not require previous enabling.

- 3.7 The study identified the following potential costs for the various categories of infrastructure, both with and without strategic highway schemes (i.e. those funded through Highways England/Department of Transport) which are fully funded. Exclusion of such schemes significantly reduces the costs of critical infrastructure but does not have any impact upon the other categories.

Table 2 - Costs by priority categories

	<b>Total costs</b>	<b>Critical</b>	<b>Essential</b>	<b>Policy High</b>	<b>Desirable</b>
Total	£905,364,000	£737,580,000	£70,753,000	£67,121,000	£29,910,000
Excluding strategic road network	£217,784,000	£50,000,000	£70,753,000	£67,121,000	£29,910,000

- 3.8 Depending on the costs associated with the non strategic highway network projects (i.e. those funded through Leicestershire County Council as highway authority) the overall funding gap identified ranges from £149.5 million to £168.4 million (Table 3 below). It should be appreciated that this is only an approximation and could be an under or over estimation of the funding gap. For example, some of the identified projects already have some potential funding available through S106 Agreements.

- 3.9 In terms of the Critical Infrastructure highlighted below, this relates to the A511 corridor improvements from Junction 22 of the M1 to J14 of the A42. The exact nature of proposals and their costs is the subject of a current study being undertaken jointly with Leicestershire County Council.

Table 3 - Funding gap by priority

	<b>Total costs</b>	<b>Critical</b>	<b>Essential</b>	<b>Policy High</b>	<b>Desirable</b>
Total Excluding strategic road network		£30-£50 million	£70.8 million	£67.1 million	£29.9 million
Funding gap	£149.45 - £168.4 millions	£5 - £25.8 million	£50.7 million	£66.5 million	£25.4 million

#### **4.0 WHAT HAPPENS NEXT?**

- 4.1 The IDP is a valuable evidence base to support the Local Plan. It shows that the Council is aware of what the Infrastructure implications are arising from new development and also how any allocations proposed in the Local Plan will contribute.
- 4.2 For the Local Plan examination it is considered that as a minimum it will be necessary to show how the IDP will be taken forward and managed through time in order that the Inspector has confidence that the proposed development will be supported through the provision of the necessary infrastructure.
- 4.3 However, the IDP has much wider and longer term value as it provides a thorough baseline of what infrastructure might be required moving forward. It provides a starting point for the more detailed development of projects and schemes which can be used to support bids for funding. It is essential therefore, that the IDP be a living document which helps to guide investment decisions by service providers on infrastructure provision in the future.
- 4.4 The consultants were asked to provide advice regarding how the Council might take forward governance arrangements for managing future infrastructure provision. They advised that a 'Local Infrastructure Partnership' could be created, building on existing relationships between the council and key infrastructure partners and the workshops and meetings held to produce the IDP.
- 4.5 The Council's Corporate Leadership Team considered this issue at its meeting on 6 September 2016 and agreed to the establishment of an Infrastructure Partnership. The establishment of a Partnership will have implications in terms of staff resources. A report seeking to address this will be considered by the Corporate Leadership Team in the next few weeks. Once this has been resolved consideration will be given to issues such as the composition of a Partnership and how it will operate.

**APPENDIX A**

<b>IDP Area</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Sub Topic</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Delivery Responsibility</b>	<b>IDP Prioritisation</b>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Motorways	M1 J24 - J25 Smart Motorway	Smart Motorway	M1 J24 - J25	Highways England	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Motorways	M1 J23A - J24 Smart Motorway	Smart Motorway	M1 J23A - J24	Highways England	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Motorways	M1 J19 - J23A Smart Motorway	Smart Motorway	M1 J19 - J23A	Highways England	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Motorways	M1 J24 - J24A	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	M1 J24 - J24A	Roxhill	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Motorways	M1 J22 / A42 J13	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	M1 J22 / A42 J13	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	Package of Highways Measures including:	see Highway projects below	see Highway projects below	see Highway projects below	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	Bardon Link Road	New Link	Bardon	Bardon Grange Developer(s)	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	I - Hugglescote Crossroads	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	Hugglescote	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	A - Flying Horse (Stanton Lane) Roundabout	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	North of Stanton under Bardon	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	B - Beveridge Lane Roundabout	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	East of Bardon Hill	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	C - Birch Tree Roundabout	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	North of Bardon Hill	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	D - Bardon Road Roundabout	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	East of Coalville	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	E - Broom Leys Road Crossroads	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	East of Coalville	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	F - Whitwick Road Roundabout	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	North of Coalville	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	G - Thornborough Road Roundabout	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	North of Coalville	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Highways	H - Hoo Ash (Swannington Road) Roundabout	Junction Upgrade / Alteration	West of Coalville	Leicestershire County Council	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Public Transport	Coalville to Leicester bus service	Bus Service Improvement	Coalville	Commercial Bus Operators	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Public Transport	Ibstock to Coalville bus service	Bus Service Improvement	Coalville	Commercial Bus Operators	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Transport</b>	Walking / Cycling	Package of Sustainable Transport Measures (see below)	see Sustainable Transport projects below	see Sustainable Transport projects below	see Sustainable Transport projects below	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>

IDP Area	Topic	Sub Topic	Project Name	Project Description	Location	Delivery Responsibility	IDP Prioritisation
Coalville Urban Area	Transport	Walking / Cycling	Sus transport Measures 1 - Coalville Cycling Strategy	Cycling Improvements	Coalville	North West Leicestershire District Council	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Transport	Walking / Cycling	Sus transport Measures 2 - Ashby-de-la-Zouch Cycling Strategy	Cycling Improvements	Ashby-de-la-Zouch	North West Leicestershire District Council	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Education	Early Years	Additional Early Year Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total sqm requirement of early years 2016-2031: 210 places (631 sq.m)	Coalville Urban Area	LCC / Private Operator	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Education	Early Years	Additional Early Year Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total sqm requirement of early years 2016-2031: 200 places (600 sq.m)	Ashby de La Zouch	LCC / Private Operator	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Education	Early Years	Additional Early Year Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total sqm requirement of early years 2016-2031: 81 places (243 sq.m)	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	LCC / Private Operator	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Education	Early Years	Additional Early Year Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total sqm requirement of early years 2016-2031: 39 places (118 sq.m)	Measham	LCC / Private Operator	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Education	Early Years	Additional Early Year Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total sqm requirement of early years 2016-2031: 28 places (85 sq.m)	Ibstock	LCC / Private Operator	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Education	Primary	Coalville All Saints	4 classrooms and support spaces - 105 additional places	Coalville All Saints	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de la Zouch	Education	Primary	Ashby Willesley Primary	2 classrooms and studio	Ashby Willesley Primary	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de la Zouch	Education	Primary	Ashby Hill Top Primary	1 classroom and Hall ext	Ashby Hill Top Primary	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Education	Primary	Ibstock Infant School	5 classrooms	Ibstock Infant School	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Education	Primary	Ibstock Junior School	4 classrooms	Ibstock Junior School	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Education	Primary	New School Bardon Grange Phase I	420 places - 2 FE	Bardon Grange	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Education	Primary	New School South East Coalville	420 places - 2 FE	South East Coalville	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de la Zouch	Education	Primary	New School - Ashby Holywell Spring Farm	210 places - 1 FE	Ashby Holywell Spring Farm - Ashby Money Hill	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Education	Primary	New School - Castle Donington	210 places - 1 FE	Castle Donington Park Lane	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>

IDP Area	Topic	Sub Topic	Project Name	Project Description	Location	Delivery Responsibility	IDP Prioritisation
Ashby de La Zouch	Education	Primary	Additional Primary Pupil Places - Theoretical places post 2026	Total requirement of Primary 2016-2031 minus existing proposals to 2026: 438 places (2.1 FE) - accounting for 1FE primary school coming forward in Ashby de la Zouch	Ashby de La Zouch	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Education	Primary	Additional Primary Pupil Places - Theoretical places post 2026	Total requirement of Primary 2016-2031 minus existing proposals to 2026: 74 places (0.4 FE) accounting for the 1 FE primary school coming forward in Castle Donington	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Education	Primary	Additional Primary Pupil Places - Theoretical places post 2026	Total requirement of Primary 2016-2031 minus existing proposals to 2026: 142 places (0.7 FE)	Measham	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Education	Primary	Additional Primary Pupil Places - Theoretical places post 2026	Total requirement of Primary 2016-2031 minus existing proposals to 2026:: 83 places (0.4 FE)	Ibstock	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Education	Secondary	Additional Secondary Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of secondary 2016-2031: 540 places (3.6 FE)	Coalville Urban Area	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Education	Secondary	Additional Secondary Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of secondary 2016-2031: 450places (3.0 FE)	Ashby de La Zouch	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Education	Secondary	Additional Secondary Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of secondary 2016-2031: 197 places (1.3 FE)	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Education	Secondary	Additional Secondary Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of secondary 2016-2031: 99 places (0.7 FE)	Measham	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Education	Secondary	Additional Secondary Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of secondary 2016-2031: 57 places (0.4 FE)	Ibstock	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
District Wide	Education	Special Schools	Theoretical expansion of Forest Way Special School	Total requirement of special school places 2016-2031: 14 primary aged and 28 secondary aged	Coalville Urban Area	LCC	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Education	Post 16	Additional Post 16 Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of Post 16 2016-2031: 107 places	Coalville Urban Area	LCC / College Heads	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Education	Post 16	Additional Post 16 Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of Post 16 2016-2031: 89 places	Ashby de La Zouch	LCC / College Heads	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Education	Post 16	Additional Post 16 Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of Post 16 2016-2031: 39 places	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	LCC / College Heads	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>

<b>IDP Area</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Sub Topic</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Delivery Responsibility</b>	<b>IDP Prioritisation</b>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Education</b>	Post 16	Additional Post 16 Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of Post 16 2016-2031: 20 places	Measham	LCC / College Heads	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Education</b>	Post 16	Additional Post 16 Pupil Places - Theoretical Places	Total requirement of Post 16 2016-2031: 11 places	Ibstock	LCC / College Heads	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	GP	Additional GP Requirements - Theoretical GP Floorspace	GPs required by 2031: 710 sq.m	Coalville Urban Area	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	GP	Additional GP Requirements - Theoretical GP Floorspace	GPs required by 2031: 623 sq.m	Ashby de La Zouch	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	GP	Additional GP Requirements - Theoretical GP Floorspace	GPs required by 2031: 264 sq.m	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	GP	Additional GP Requirements - Theoretical GP Floorspace	GPs required by 2031: 29 sq.m	Measham	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	GP	Additional GP Requirements - Theoretical GP Floorspace	GPs required by 2031: 84 sq.m	Ibstock	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Dentist	Additional Dentist Requirements - Theoretical Dentists	Dentists required by 2031: 4.4 (220 sq.m)	Coalville Urban Area	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Dentist	Additional Dentist Requirements - Theoretical Dentists	Dentists required by 2031: 3.9 (193 sq.m)	Ashby de La Zouch	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Dentist	Additional Dentist Requirements - Theoretical Dentists	Dentists required by 2031: 1.6 (82 sq.m)	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Dentist	Additional Dentist Requirements - Theoretical Dentists	Dentists required by 2031: 0.8 (40 sq.m)	Measham	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Dentist	Additional Dentist Requirements - Theoretical Dentists	Dentists required by 2031: 0.5 (26 sq.m)	Ibstock	West Leicestershire CCG	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Acute Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 15 (2,429 sq.m)	Coalville Urban Area	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Acute Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 13 (,132 sq.m)	Ashby de La Zouch	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Acute Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 6 (903 sq.m)	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Acute Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 3 (443 sq.m)	Measham	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Acute Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 2 (286 sq.m)	Ibstock	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Mental Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 3 (266 sq.m)	Coalville Urban Area	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Mental Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 3 (233 sq.m)	Ashby de La Zouch	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>

<b>IDP Area</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Sub Topic</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Delivery Responsibility</b>	<b>IDP Prioritisation</b>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Mental Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 1 (99 sq.m)	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Mental Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 1 (48 sq.m)	Measham	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Healthcare</b>	Mental Healthcare	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 0.4 (31 sq.m)	Ibstock	West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de la Zouch</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Extra Care	Extra Care Scheme in Ashby de la Zouch	Outline planning permission has been granted for an affordable extra care scheme within Ashby de la Zouch, which will provide 60 units through a local Registered Provider		LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Residential Care	Mill Lodge	proposed to relocate to an improved, purpose-built unit in Narborough. provides integrated mental health, learning disability and community health services. This decision has not been approved by the trust; however the move is expected to take place in spring 2016.		LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Nursing Home	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 35 (1,925 sq.m)	Coalville Urban Area	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Nursing Home	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 33 (1,810 sq.m)	Ashby de La Zouch	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Nursing Home	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 13 (710 sq.m)	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Nursing Home	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 6 (333 sq.m)	Measham	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Nursing Home	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 3 (175 sq.m)	Ibstock	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Residential Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 50 (2,781 sq.m)	Coalville Urban Area	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Adult Social Care</b>	Residential Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 47 (2,615 sq.m)	Ashby de La Zouch	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>

IDP Area	Topic	Sub Topic	Project Name	Project Description	Location	Delivery Responsibility	IDP Prioritisation
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Adult Social Care	Residential Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 18 (1,026 sq.m)	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Adult Social Care	Residential Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 9 (481 sq.m)	Measham	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Adult Social Care	Residential Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 5 (263 sq.m)	Ibstock	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Adult Social Care	Extra Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 19 (1,702 sq.m)	Coalville Urban Area	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Adult Social Care	Extra Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 18 (1,600 sq.m)	Ashby de La Zouch	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Adult Social Care	Extra Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 7 (628 sq.m)	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Adult Social Care	Extra Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 3 (294 sq.m)	Measham	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Adult Social Care	Extra Care	Additional bed Requirements - Theoretical beds	bed space required by 2031: 2 (155 sq.m)	Ibstock	LCC / West Leicestershire CCG / NHS Trusts	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
District Wide	Emergency Services	Fire	Expansion of Coalville Fire Station	Coalville will see an expansion of its existing infrastructure by 2020 with one new fire engine crewed by whole time fire fighters and one tactical response unit crewed by on-call fire fighters.		Fire and Rescue Service	<i>Essential Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de la Zouch	Community	Community Facility	Ashby Castle Lawn Tennis Club	Transformation of the tennis club including reception area, lounge, changing room and disabled toilet		NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Community	Community Facility	Castle Donington 3G Multi-Use Facility	Provision of a 3G multi sports recreational community facility with floodlights and associated parking		NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de la Zouch	Community	Community Facility	St Helens Community Heritage Centre and Churchyard in Ashby	Extension of existing building to provide a state of the art community and heritage venue with associated works to the churchyard		NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>



IDP Area	Topic	Sub Topic	Project Name	Project Description	Location	Delivery Responsibility	IDP Prioritisation
Ashby de la Zouch	Community	Community Facility	Oakthorpe Centre Multi Media Room	Development of a community multi media room within Oakthorpe Community Leisure Centre		NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Community	Community Facility	The Storey Arms (Osgathorpe)	successfully obtained £20,000 for Seven awards scheme to repair and modernise the Storey Arms as a hub for village life		NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Community	Community Facility	Building Confidence in Coalville Project	Also known as Coalville Project the initial scope involves: improvement to public realm, phase 2 of shop front improvement scheme, opportunities to support business startups, car parking strategy, council public spaces improvements	Coalville Town Centre Area	NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Community	Art and Culture Space	Additional Culture and Art space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Culture and Art requirement in sq.m	Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Community	Art and Culture Space	Additional Culture and Art space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Culture and Art requirement in sq.m	Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Community	Art and Culture Space	Additional Culture and Art space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Culture and Art requirement in sq.m	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Community	Art and Culture Space	Additional Culture and Art space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Culture and Art requirement in sq.m	Measham	NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Community	Art and Culture Space	Additional Culture and Art space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Culture and Art requirement in sq.m	Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Councils	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Libraries	Libraries	Castle Donington Community Library	To operate a community information hub, training centre, meeting place and rural library manned by volunteers		LCC	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Libraries	Libraries	Ibstock Library Relocation	Relocation of Ibstock library within Ibstock however no information is available about this as of yet		LCC	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Libraries	Libraries	Additional library space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Library requirement in sq.m to 2031: 232sq.m	Coalville Urban Area	LCC	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Libraries	Libraries	Additional library space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Library requirement in sq.m to 2031: 204 sq.m	Ashby de La Zouch	LCC	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>

<b>IDP Area</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Sub Topic</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Delivery Responsibility</b>	<b>IDP Prioritisation</b>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Libraries</b>	Libraries	Additional library space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Library requirement in sq.m to 2031: 86 sq.m	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	LCC	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Libraries</b>	Libraries	Additional library space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Library requirement in sq.m to 2031: 42 sq.m	Measham	LCC	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Libraries</b>	Libraries	Additional library space Requirements - Theoretical sq.m	Library requirement in sq.m to 2031: 27 sq.m	Ibstock	LCC	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Sports Halls	Additional Indoor Sport Requirement - Theoretical # of halls		Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Sports Halls	Additional Indoor Sport Requirement - Theoretical # of halls		Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Sports Halls	Additional Indoor Sport Requirement - Theoretical # of halls		Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Sports Halls	Additional Indoor Sport Requirement - Theoretical # of halls		Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Sports Halls	Additional Indoor Sport Requirement - Theoretical # of halls		Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Swimming Pools	Additional Swimming Pool Requirement - Theoretical # of pools		Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Swimming Pools	Additional Swimming Pool Requirement - Theoretical # of pools		Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Swimming Pools	Additional Swimming Pool Requirement - Theoretical # of pools		Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Swimming Pools	Additional Swimming Pool Requirement - Theoretical # of pools		Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Swimming Pools	Additional Swimming Pool Requirement - Theoretical # of pools		Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Indoor Bowls	Additional Indoor Bowls Requirement - Theoretical # of Indoor Bowls		Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Indoor Sports</b>	Indoor Bowls	Additional Indoor Bowls Requirement - Theoretical # of Indoor Bowls		Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>

IDP Area	Topic	Sub Topic	Project Name	Project Description	Location	Delivery Responsibility	IDP Prioritisation
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Indoor Sports	Indoor Bowls	Additional Indoor Bowls Requirement - Theoretical # of Indoor Bowls		Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Indoor Sports	Indoor Bowls	Additional Indoor Bowls Requirement - Theoretical # of Indoor Bowls		Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Indoor Sports	Indoor Bowls	Additional Indoor Bowls Requirement - Theoretical # of Indoor Bowls		Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Open Space & Rec	Local Open Space	Local open Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Open Space & Rec	Local Open Space	Local open Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Open Space & Rec	Local Open Space	Local open Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Open Space & Rec	Local Open Space	Local open Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Open Space & Rec	Local Open Space	Local open Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Open Space & Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Ashby de La Zouch	Open Space & Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Castle Donington / Kegworth	Open Space & Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Measham	Open Space & Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Ibstock	Open Space & Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec	Outdoor Sport and Rec Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
Coalville Urban Area	Open Space & Rec	Children Playspace	Children playspace Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>

<b>IDP Area</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Sub Topic</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Delivery Responsibility</b>	<b>IDP Prioritisation</b>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Children Playspace	Children playspace Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Children Playspace	Children playspace Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Children Playspace	Children playspace Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Children Playspace	Children playspace Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Open Space modelling exercise	Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Artificial Grass Pitch	Additional Artificial Grass Pitches Requirements - Theoretical # of artificial pitches		Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Artificial Grass Pitch	Additional Artificial Grass Pitches Requirements - Theoretical # of artificial pitches		Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Artificial Grass Pitch	Additional Artificial Grass Pitches Requirements - Theoretical # of artificial pitches		Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Artificial Grass Pitch	Additional Artificial Grass Pitches Requirements - Theoretical # of artificial pitches		Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Open Space &amp; Rec</b>	Artificial Grass Pitch	Additional Artificial Grass Pitches Requirements - Theoretical # of artificial pitches		Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council / Private Operators	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Allotments	Allotment Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Allotments	Allotment Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Allotments	Allotment Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Allotments	Allotment Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Allotments	Allotment Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>

IDP Area	Topic	Sub Topic	Project Name	Project Description	Location	Delivery Responsibility	IDP Prioritisation
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Accessible Natural Green Space	Accessible Natural Green Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Coalville Urban Area	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de La Zouch</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Accessible Natural Green Space	Accessible Natural Green Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Ashby de La Zouch	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Accessible Natural Green Space	Accessible Natural Green Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Castle Donington/ Kegworth	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Measham</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Accessible Natural Green Space	Accessible Natural Green Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Measham	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ibstock</b>	<b>Green Infrastructure</b>	Accessible Natural Green Space	Accessible Natural Green Space Requirements - Theoretical hectares	Theoretical Green Infrastructure Projects	Ibstock	NWLDC / Parish Council	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Water</b>	Water	Future investment upgrades to the Strategic Grid zone	District Wide		Severn Trent Water	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Waste Water</b>	Waste Water	Future investment upgrades to Specific STW as set out in IDP Report	District Wide		Severn Trent Water	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Ashby de la Zouch</b>	<b>Waste Water</b>	Waste Water	Control of phosphate levels in the River Mease	Future works are likely to be required in the Packington (and possibly Measham) WwTW catchments in order to reduce the phosphate levels in the River Mease. Future developments in the area are likely to exacerbate this hence additional works would be required either at the WwTW or in the form of other improvements to water quality in the River Mease		Severn Trent Water	<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>Castle Donington / Kegworth</b>	<b>Energy</b>	Renewable	Hydro Power	Potential for hydro power sites near Kegworth and near Sawley Cut (close to Castle Donington)	Kegworth and near Sawley Cut (close to Castle Donington)	To be Confirmed	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>

<b>IDP Area</b>	<b>Topic</b>	<b>Sub Topic</b>	<b>Project Name</b>	<b>Project Description</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Delivery Responsibility</b>	<b>IDP Prioritisation</b>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Energy</b>	Gas	Investment in the National Grid distribution network over the next six years including: HP distribution system, storage, reinforcement and Governors, Connections, Other Capex and Replacement	Connections relate to the cost of connecting new gas consumers to the gas supply network and represents approximately 5% of the total capital expenditure to NG over the next six years. The largest proportion of investment, over 75% is proposed in "Replacement" which relates to money invested in replacing ageing pipes.	District Wide		<i>Critical Infrastructure</i>
<b>District Wide</b>	<b>Broadband</b>	Broadband	Superfast Broadband	Superfast broadband connection to remaining 5% of premises by end of 2018		LCC / BT Openreach / Virgin Media.	<i>Policy High Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Waste</b>	Waste	Waste Transfer Site	Potential WTS within waste depot at Coalville, potential need of a WTS linked to the potential closure of New Albion landfill site	Coalville	Joint Venture - NWLDC and LCC	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Waste</b>	Waste	Waste collection vehicles	Capital cost of three waste vehicles depreciated over 7 years thus requiring 6 in total over the period		NWLDC	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Waste</b>	Waste	1 HGV mech sweeper	capital cost of HGV mechanical sweeper depreciated over 7 years thus requiring 2 in total over the period		NWLDC	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Waste</b>	Waste	1 LGV mech sweeper	capital cost of LGV mech footway sweeper depreciated over 7 years thus requiring 2 in total over the period		NWLDC	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>
<b>Coalville Urban Area</b>	<b>Waste</b>	Waste	waste containers	for 3,530 household per yr to max of 10,590		NWLDC	<i>Desirable Infrastructure</i>